## Exhibit D

Case 1:03-md-01570-GBD-SN Doci

DOCUMENT
ELECTRONICALLY FILED
DOC #:

DATE FILED: Merch 24, 2005

## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

In re Terrorist Attacks on September 11, 2001	03 MDL 1570 (RCC) ECF Case
i	

This document relates to:

Burnett v. Al Baraka Investment and Development Group, et al., Case No. 02-CV-1616 (S.D.N.Y.)

## STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING SCHEDULE TO RESPOND TO COMPLAINT CONSOLIDATED UNDER MDL 1570

It is HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED, by and between Plaintiffs in the above-referenced case consolidated under 03 MDL 1570 and Defendants African Muslim Agency.

Ahmed Totonji, Grove Corporate, Heritage Education Trust, International Institute of Islamic Thought, Iqbal Unus, Jamal Barzinji, M. Omar Ashraf, M. Yaqub Mirza, Mar-Jac Investments, Mena Corporation, Mohammed Jaghlit, Muhammad Ashraf, Reston Investments, Safa Trust, Sterling Charitable Gift Fund, Sterling Management Group, and York Foundation (collectively, "Defendants"), by and through their undersigned counsel, subject to the approval of the Court, as follows:

- 1. The Court previously approved Stipulations by and between counsel for Plaintiffs and defendants Ahmed Totonji, Iqbal Unus, Jamal Barzinji, M. Omar Ashraf, M. Yaqub Mirza, Mohammed Jaghlit, Muhammad Ashraf, Sterling Charitable Gift Fund, and Sterling Management Group to respond to Plaintiffs' complaint on March 28, 2005.
- 2. Currently pending before the Court is the motion to dismiss Plaintiffs' complaint brought by defendants African Muslim Agency, Grove Corporate, Heritage Education Trust, International Institute of Islamic Thought, Mar-Jac Investments, Reston Investments. Safa Trust, and York Foundation (the "Moving Defendants"). That motion is fully briefed and was argued

GT\6439013.1 2103638-6 before the Court on October 12 and 14, 2004.

- 3. In the interests of judicial economy and to avoid duplicative motions, it is hereby stipulated and agreed that Defendants shall have thirty (30) days from the date on which the Court decides Moving Defendants' motion to dismiss to answer or otherwise respond to Plaintiffs' complaint in the above-referenced case.
- 4. Plaintiffs shall have sixty (60) days from the date on which it is served with Defendants' responsive pleadings to file a response, if any. Defendants shall have twenty-one (21) days thereafter to file a reply to Plaintiffs' opposition.
- This stipulation supersedes all previous stipulations between Plaintiffs and any
   Defendant.

Respectfully submitted

MOTLEY RICE LLC

By:

Jodi Westbrook Flowers 28 Bridgeside Boulevard Mount Pleasant, SC 29465

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US LLP

By:

Christopher J. Beal 4365 Executive Drive

Suite 1100

San Diego, CA 92121

Attorneys for Defendants

SO ORDERED:

OT\6434918.1 2103638-6

2

RICHARD CONWAY CASEY, U.S.D.J.

Dated: MA ch 21 2005

GTV6439013.1 2103638-6